



Tips for applicants

How to prepare an application for an AIRC individual grant

For eligibility requirements and rules, please refer to the 2022 AIRC Calls

<https://www.direzionescientifica.airc.it/>

AIRC individual grants 2022



	My First AIRC Grant (MFAG)	Start-Up Grant	Bridge Grant	Investigator Grant (IG)	Next Gen Clinician Scientist	Southern Italy Scholars (SIS)
Duration	5 years	5 years	1 year	5 years	5 years	5 years
A good opportunity for	junior scientists who have never obtained an AIRC grant before, in order to establish a record of independent research.	junior scientists seeking the opportunity to set up their own lab in Italy and become independent investigators after a successful research experience abroad.	MFAG or Start-Up grantees to help finalize their studies, thus being better prepared for applications in response to funding schemes for established researchers.	established and independent scientists , leading an active research unit.	junior physician scientists wishing to carry out an investigator-driven clinical research project with the final aim of optimizing clinical practice.	junior scientists seeking an opportunity of developing their independent careers in Southern or Insular Italy and establishing a network of collaborations with research groups located in the rest of Italy or abroad.

All Principal Investigators (PI) MUST demonstrate a **strong commitment to cancer research.**

Do you fulfil all eligibility criteria?

Make sure you satisfy all the formal **requirements** described in the [Call](#) and upload in the application the documentation supporting your eligibility.

In 2021
21 applications for individual grants
were excluded from peer-review process
because they did not satisfy all formal
requirements.



Mark your calendar and do not miss the deadline!



**THE APPLICATION
CANNOT BE SUBMITTED and CANNOT BE MODIFIED
AFTER THE DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION**

The eligibility check and the evaluation of the proposal are based on the information included in the application **ONLY**.



Select the appropriate Hosting Institution & Legal Representative



The **Principal Investigator (PI)** and the **Legal Representative** of the Hosting Institution must digitally sign the full application.

What is my HOSTING INSTITUTION?

The research center where the PI will carry out the research activity supported by the grant.
→ *Hosting Institutions already registered in the AIRC system are listed in the "Title page" form.*

Who is my LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE?

The person with the legal authority to sign documents on behalf of the Hosting Institution.
→ *A legal representative is already associated to each Hosting Institution in the AIRC system.
Please check that all data are correct.*

What DIGITAL SIGNATURE should I use?

Applicants must use the digital signature tool (FEA) provided through the AIRC web platform.
Legal Representatives must use their own legally recognized tool for electronic signature.

For further details, please see:

<https://www.direzionescientifica.airc.it/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/FEAInstructions.pdf>

Keep in mind that reviewers are asked to answer these questions:



- Project**
- Is the project **significant** for cancer and how much will it **impact** on cancer knowledge and treatment?
 - Is there **innovation** and **potential for competition** at the international level?
 - Is the project **feasible and scientifically rigorous**?
 - Does **the Hosting Institution** support the establishment of a new research group? (MFAG and Start-Up)
 - What is the **quality of collaborations** and the **plan for training young fellows**? (SIS)
- PI's track record**
- Is the PI seriously **committed to cancer research**?
 - Has the PI the **expertise** and the **track record** needed to perform the proposed work?
 - Is the PI showing enough **maturity** to act as an **independent group leader**? (MFAG, Start-Up and SIS)
 - Is the PI coming from a **truly valuable post-doc experience abroad**? (Start-Up)
- Budget**
- Is the requested **budget appropriate**?

Choose an effective title



The title should accurately and briefly describe the scientific question(s) being addressed

Examples of **strong titles**:

- “Dissecting p63 functions in skin cancer initiation and progression”
- “Plasma microRNA profiling as first line screening test for lung cancer detection: a prospective study”

Examples of **weak titles**:

- “Terminal differentiation opposes transformation, functional bases” *too vague*
- “The energy sensing LKB1-AMPK α 1 pathway regulates IGF1 secretion and consequent activation of the IGF1R-PKB pathway in primary hepatocytes.” *too long, too specific, too many abbreviations*

A good rule of thumb for abbreviations

- If you need to use acronyms, use only those that are widely known in the field, and do it sparingly
- avoid, if possible, inventing acronym that are unique to your proposal
- don't make a reviewer nervous because of using acronyms instead of simple words and fully formed sentences

Write a focused and balanced abstract

Answer the following questions:

- Why is your question important?
- What is your key aim?
- How will you address the problem?
- What is the impact on cancer?
- What advances will be made?



Write a focused and balanced abstract

Do not give too much of introduction in the **background**, go straight to the point describing the **hypothesis**, the **aims**, **experimental design**, **expected results**, the **impact on cancer**.

NO

Background	<p>Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aenean eget, libero nec mollis adipiscing, eros ante congue nisi, vitae lobortis tellus augue sed sem. Nulla lacus enim, tincidunt dignissim laoreet quis, semper ac arcu. In sed eros a nunc mattis aliquet. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Duis lorem neque, lacinia in sagittis quis, pharetra sed tellus. Nunc non mi ante, commodo suscipit est. Aenean nibh leo, placerat at semper ac, sagittis quis risus. Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Donec sagittis, ligula eu suscipit viverra, nulla libero interdum diam, vitae adipiscing magna urna id elit. Pellentesque posuere eros tristique justo iaculis ornare. Cras aliquet consequat leo vitae congue. Proin ante sem, viverra ut tempus in, rutrum vitae ligula. Pellentesque felis turpis, pharetra id tincidunt at, fringilla nec dui. Suspendisse potenti. Integer quis pharetra dui. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Quisque eu massa vitae mauris faucibus ultrices ac id nunc. Quisque eget tempus arcu. Morbi pulvinar luctus magna eget volutpat. Pellentesque cursus porta dictum. Nulla porttitor mattis rutrum. Suspendisse nisi est, porta vel fermentum at, aliquam interdum erat. Nam pulvinar aliquam congue. Ut id arcu arcu. Etiam turpis massa, porta accumsan malesuada ac, euismod luctus turpis. Aenean fermentum nisi id dui auctor elementum. In blandit luctus nunc a aliquam. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aenean eget, libero nec mollis adipiscing, eros ante congue nisi, vitae lobortis tellus augue sed sem. Nulla lacus enim, tincidunt dignissim laoreet quis, semper ac arcu. In sed eros a nunc mattis aliquet. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Duis lorem neque, lacinia in sagittis quis, pharetra sed tellus. Nunc non mi ante, commodo suscipit est. Aenean nibh leo, placerat at semper ac, sagittis quis risus. Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit</p>
Hypothesis	<p>Praesent imperdiet facilisis hendrerit. Aliquam erat volutpat. Nullam bibendum dui at magna ultricies nec accumsan odio accumsan. Duis id rutrum nisi. Curabitur pharetra</p>
Aims	<p>Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Donec sagittis, ligula eu suscipit viverra, nulla libero interdum diam, vitae adipiscing magna urna id elit. Nunc non mi ante, commodo suscipit est. Aenean nibh leo, placerat at semper ac, sagittis quis risus. Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit</p>
Experimental design	<p>Nulla porttitor mattis rutrum.</p>
Expected results	<p>Nulla porttitor mattis rutrum. ultricies nec accumsan odio accumsan. Duis id rutrum nisi. Curabitur pharetra commodo consectetur.</p>
Impact on cancer	<p>Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.</p>

YES


Background	<p>Donec luctus viverra ante, non auctor urna pharetra quis. Suspendisse vulputate venenatis tellus, a fermentum diam cursus ut. Morbi quis nisi lorem, ac gravida nisi. Maecenas elementum erat posuere purus fringilla tempor. Aenean imperdiet mollis mauris condimentum molestie. Mauris condimentum, metus aliquet porta ornare, arcu lectus sollicitudin dui, a consectetur odio leo eu nulla. Phasellus</p>
Hypothesis	<p>Ut aliquet rhoncus ultricies. Mauris eu sagittis risus. Etiam blandit arcu eget neque laoreet porttitor. Mauris convallis, nulla non commodo semper, turpis erat pulvinar orci, non fringilla erat diam vitae turpis. Etiam consequat nulla sed elit consequat eget fermentum velit faucibus. Integer ut lectus interdum justo porta ultricies sit amet id sem. Fusce erat odio, pulvinar eget facilisis vel, euismod non tellus. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Pellentesque ut tellus sed sapien interdum consectetur. Aenean faucibus tincidunt magna, ac euismod ante placerat ut. Curabitur aliquet sodales dui vel vehicula. Vivamus hendrerit neque venenatis ligula facilisis ornare. Vestibulum</p>
Aims	<p>Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Donec sagittis, ligula eu suscipit viverra, nulla libero interdum diam, vitae adipiscing magna urna id elit. Nunc non mi ante, commodo suscipit est. Aenean nibh leo, placerat at semper ac, sagittis quis risus. Duis et mauris nulla, eget pharetra nisi. Nulla sapien leo, mattis vitae fringilla sed, convallis eget felis. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit amet malesuada. Pellentesque sed magna suscipit dui hendrerit feugiat ac at sem. Nam facilisis tempus neque sit</p>
Experimental design	<p>Suspendisse et tellus sit amet arcu sodales elementum convallis ac diam. Duis volutpat mattis auctor. Vivamus felis nisi, hendrerit vel mattis congue, suscipit sit amet enim. Nulla lorem ligula, ullamcorper id lacinia ornare, faucibus quis mi. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec id suscipit justo. Duis cursus lorem congue orci eleifend dictum. Pellentesque quis diam vitae tellus euismod adipiscing. Donec placerat orci a odio aliquam ultrices ac a nisi. Duis vel mauris odio. Aenean eu leo elit. Vivamus felis nisi,</p>
Expected results	<p>Suspendisse et tellus sit amet arcu sodales elementum convallis ac diam. Duis volutpat mattis auctor. Vivamus felis nisi, hendrerit vel mattis congue, suscipit sit amet enim. Nulla lorem ligula, ullamcorper id lacinia ornare, faucibus quis mi. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec id suscipit justo. Duis cursus lorem congue orci eleifend dictum. Pellentesque ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec id suscipit justo. Duis cursus lorem</p>
Impact on cancer	<p>Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat.</p>

Choose keywords that describe the key features of your research plan



Keywords chosen by applicants and reviewers help make an initial **match between an application and appropriate reviewers.**

**APPLICATION
keywords**




**REVIEWER's
keywords**

The complete list of keywords is available here:
<https://www.pages.airc.it/DataFiles/Calls/SupportInfo/Keywords.pdf>

Choose keywords that describe the key features of your research plan



You can select up to 5 keywords – we suggest to follow this approach:



Avoid keywords that are:

- **too vague** (e.g. genetics + animal model + genomics)
- **too similar** with each other (e.g. DNA damage + DNA repair)

The Proposal Main Body must be ORIGINAL



An **anti-plagiarism software** is used to verify documents originality.

If the research plan bears a high level of identity to that presented by someone else (*) without including proper reference, the application will be excluded from the peer review.

(*) this also applies to:

- Applications by another team member or collaborator
- Proposals submitted within different AIRC funding schemes
- Applications submitted in past years, regardless of whether they have been funded or not



Proposal main body: Lessons from Reviewers' comments



Focus and keep it simple

- *"The usage of many acronyms does not help - e.g. inhibitors are called PPI or DI etc."*
- *"The present proposal seems to be «a little of everything on very many topics»."*
- *"Background is long and rambling. Aims are diffuse ('explore, evaluate, investigate, compare') without specific hypotheses"*

Define a clear and cancer relevant hypothesis

- *"There is a lack of clear goals/aims/impact when it comes to cancer research except for some rather general statements."*
- *"Overall, the project is diffuse. Since no clear questions are put, no clear answers can follow."*
- *"After reading the aims page, I still had no initial idea what this proposal entailed."*




Proposal main body: Lessons from Reviewers' comments



Avoid fishing expeditions (unless supported by preliminary data)

- *"This is mainly a 'fishing' expedition. On the one hand, it is possible for fishermen to catch fish. On the other hand, one would like some indication that fish are really present in these waters."*
- *"Overall, the study seems like a major fishing expedition and the two proposed tasks are very loosely associated."*



Write a compelling story

- *"A collection of tasks not related to each other is not a project."*
- *"It is not terribly well-written and sometimes it looks like a collage of somewhat unrelated work-packages with no logically stated and organized aims."*
- *"The grant reads a bit like as if the applicant did a PubMed search on exosomes and the tumor microenvironment and then proposed to perform every experiment he read about."*

Proposal main body: Lessons from Reviewers' comments



Feasibility

Support hypotheses with solid preliminary data

- *“While the initial idea is excellent, the proposal is poorly prepared and does not contain any preliminary data to support the feasibility of the proposed approach.”*

Make sure your numbers are statistically significant (See also, David L. Vaux, [“Know when your numbers are significant”](#), Nature, 2012)

- *“The experimental plan is seriously flawed. Some of the studies are too small to achieve statistically powered results.”*

Show that you are experienced in the proposed research field

- *“It is not clear that the investigator has the experience to do the work”.*

Caveat and pitfalls: make sure you have a «plan B»

- *“If the first experiment fails (i.e. the hypothesis was wrong and you disprove it), they have nothing to do”.*



Proposal main body: Lessons from Reviewers' comments




If your application is a revision, reply to reviewers' criticisms

- *“The applicant's chief response to the prior critique seems to be «give me the money and I'll show you». This was a very disappointing revision in nearly every respect.”*
- *“The project shows some advancement and some changes with respect to last year, however the framework is fundamentally the same.”*
- *“Following comments on the previous application, it is appreciated that actions have been taken to substantially modify some of the approaches.”*



FIGURES in the Proposal main body

The use of FIGURES, diagrams and tables helps convey a concept more clearly



Sections and Figures lead the evaluator to focus on important information

Simplify figures. Remove anything that doesn't convey information (such as 3D, shadowing and unnecessary colours)


Make figures readable. Verify that text in figures can be read if printed. Remove unnecessary information

Provide short titles for figure legends.

Highlight your role in publications

Provide complete and accurate information about AUTHORSHIP because:

- it is necessary to verify the **eligibility criteria**
- your track-record is considered part of the **feasibility** of the project
- it helps reviewers evaluate your **seniority and independence**



Tips for budget preparation



Properly justify each budget category!!



TOTAL: € 194.775,00

Justification notes :

consumables
services
publication fees
meetings



TOTAL: € 194.775,00

Justification notes : 1ST YEAR JUSTIFICATION NOTES

1ST YEAR JUSTIFICATION NOTES

CONSUMABLES AND SUPPLIES:

Kits for molecular biology: 5.000 euro

Enzymes: 4.500 euro

Reagents for PCR and RT-PCR: 11.000 euro

Growth media for yeast and bacteria: 10.000 euro

Glassware and plasticware: 10.000 euro

Radioisotopes: 4.000 euro

X-ray films: 2.000 euro

Membranes (hybond, nitrocellulose): 2.000 euro

Oligonucleotides and alp

Antibiotics: 2.000 euro

MEETING AND TRAVEL COSTS:


Registration fees and travel costs for attending scientific meetings

PERSONNEL COSTS:

Annual salary for a post-doctoral fellow

Tips for budget preparation

Make sure your budget is adequate for your scheduled tasks



Make sure there is consistency between the description of the activities and the staff involved!



Tips for budget preparation



- Be realistic!
- The budget preparation influences the evaluation of the application, as it guarantees its feasibility.
- Don't forget funds are given (and must be accounted for) on a yearly basis.

Tips for budget preparation




Read all documents in the call! Check the eligible costs defined for every call

The screenshot displays the AIRC website interface for the 'Investigator Grant - IG 2022'. On the left, a navigation menu lists various grant types: 'My First AIRC Grant', 'Start-Up Grant', 'Bridge Grant', 'Investigator Grant' (highlighted in blue), 'Next Gen', and 'Southern Italy Scholars'. The main content area is titled 'Investigator Grant - IG 2022' and includes a description of the grant, the call issued date (03 Feb 2022), and the deadline (07 Mar 2022). Below this, there is a section for 'Downloads For IG 2022' with two items: 'Call for Proposals - IG 2022' and 'Guide to Submission'. The 'Call for Proposals - IG 2022' item is circled in red. To the right, a 'SUPPORTING INFO' section lists four documents: 'Letter of collaboration company', 'Keywords', 'How to write an AIRC grant application', 'Eligible costs', and 'FEA instructions'. A red arrow points from the top left towards the 'Call for Proposals - IG 2022' document, and another red arrow points from the top left towards the 'Eligible costs' document. At the bottom of the main content area, there is a 'Previous Funding >' link and a blue 'APPLY' button.

Budget: Lessons from Reviewers' comments

Ask for what you really need to carry out the proposed research plan (no reverse budgeting).

"The statement that he is setting the number of patients based on budgetary issues is neither valid nor acceptable. Statistical relevance and not budgets is what drives good science."



Reviewers will understand whether the budget is inflated and can recommend budget cuts, which AIRC WILL undertake.

"Each and every component of the budget is inflated given the relatively straightforward nature of much of the programme. I recommend a 40% reduction in the total budget requested."

The financial request should be in line with the number of people involved in the project.

"This budget is overestimated for the few people that will be actively working on the research plan."

Contact us:

airc.direzione-scientifica@airc.it

AIRC Calls for proposals and supporting documents can be found at:

<https://www.direzionescientifica.airc.it/funding-for-research/individual-grants/>

This presentation is meant as a series of suggestions for writing an application for an AIRC individual grant. To apply, please read the AIRC Calls for proposals 2022 and the “Guide to proposal preparation 2022”, where eligibility requirements and rules are listed.